

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/446,629	03/23/2000	RAZI VAGO	229752001000	2656
7590 09/13/2006			EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER 2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1888			WILLSE,	DAVID H
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/446,629	VAGO, RAZI			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dave Willse	3738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by starterly received by the Office later than three months after the made of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20					
'	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dia		EL EX Parte Quayre, 1955 C.D. 1	1, 400 O.G. 210.			
_	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 4-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examement The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re- eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Response to the Applicant's Remarks

With regard to the objection to the specification as set forth in the Office action mailed on May 15, 2006, the Applicant's comments are persuasive. The omitted letters in the initial file copy of the specification appear to be due to an error by a USPTO fax machine. Moreover, the present application, as noted by the Applicant, is the national stage of an international PCT application (corresponding to WO 99/02200 A1). The Applicant's substitute specification, accompanied by the statement that the substitute specification does not contain any new matter, is therefore sufficient to overcome the objection to the specification.

The examiner has very recently been informed of the particulars of USPTO policy on issues pertinent to the instant application. There does not appear to be any requirement for a transitional term or phrase, so the Applicant is deemed to be correct on this issue. In order to make the record clear, the examiner hereby interprets claim 4 as being inclusive or open-ended (MPEP § 2111.03), especially because the product can include additional elements such as a therapeutically active substance (claim 8). However, in view of USPTO policy on claim interpretation, the application as currently filed cannot be allowed, for reasons presented below.

Claim Rejections

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 4-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim language "shaped into a form ..." (claim 4, line 2) is broad enough to encompass the *natural* shape of the coral skeletons, which are *capable* of being implanted or inserted into a large mammal (whale, elephant, etc.) in order, for example, to evaluate tissue response (for medical research) along an

unaltered, structurally intact coral surface. An article of manufacture occurring in nature will not be considered patentable unless given a new form, quality, properties, or combination not present in the original article existing in nature (*Funk Bros. Seed Co. v. Kalo Inoculant Co.*, 333 U.S. 127, 76 USPQ 280 (1948); *American Fruit Growers v. Brogdex*, 283 U.S. 1, 8 USPQ 131 (1931); *Ex Parte Grayson*, 51 USPQ 413 (Bd. App. 1941)). Under USPTO policy, any claim that is reasonably interpreted as covering embodiments which are statutory and embodiments which are non-statutory should be rejected. Regarding claims 5 and 6, "spacer" (claim 6, line 2) is broad enough to encompass virtually any solid occupying a volume and hence *capable* of "spacing apart" two or more (unclaimed) elements. Regarding claim 11, the coral itself is viewed as an assembly of coral skeletons.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 4, line 1, "or related purposes" renders the claim indefinite as to the scope because it is not clear what the Applicant intends to cover by the recitation "related purposes" (emphasis added); attention is directed to MPEP § 2173.05(b), especially sections C and F. Similar problems occur in claims 5 and 6.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,629

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 4-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by AIMS, CORAL GROWTH: Laser Based Underwater and Laboratory Measurements, last updated on March 6, 1997. The species Acropora grandis possesses natural sizes and shapes capable of being implanted or inserted into a whale for medical purposes, such as studies on digestion or tissue response, and skeletal portions of said coral are capable of being implanted in humans, whether or not such was the intent. The naturally occurring structure meets all the limitations of claims 4-7 and 11 for reasons explained in the above rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762 and who is generally available Monday through Thursday and sometimes on Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3738